

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

PAMELA STICKLER,

Case No.: 3:20-cv-00215-WGC

**Plaintiff**

Order

V.

Re: ECF Nos. 1, 1-1

## SOCIAL SECURITY,

## Defendant

Plaintiff filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) (ECF No. 1) and pro se complaint seeking review of a social security disability or supplemental security income decision (ECF No. 1-1). The docket reflects that when Plaintiff filed her IFP application and complaint she was a resident of San Diego, California, and then filed a notice of change of address to El Cajon, California. (ECF No. 4.)

An action seeking review of a decision of the Social Security Administration should be filed in the judicial district where you live or where you have your principal place of business. 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). It appears that this IFP application and complaint should be filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. Therefore, Plaintiff's IFP application (ECF No. 1) is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, and the complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, so that Plaintiff may file the action in the correct court. This action shall be administratively closed.

## **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 19, 2020

William G. Cobb